

Does characterising patterns of multimorbidity in stroke/TIA matter for developing collaborative care approaches in primary care?

Aquino, Maria Raisa Jessica ; Turner, Grace; Mant, Jonathan

DOI:

[10.1017/S1463423619000240](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1463423619000240)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Aquino, MRJ, Turner, G & Mant, J 2019, 'Does characterising patterns of multimorbidity in stroke/TIA matter for developing collaborative care approaches in primary care?', *Primary Health Care Research & Development*, vol. 20. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1463423619000240>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Aquino M(Ryc) V, Turner GM, Mant J. (2019) Does characterising patterns of multimorbidity in stroke matter for developing collaborative care approaches in primary care? *Primary Health Care Research & Development* 20(e110): 1–5. doi: 10.1017/S1463423619000240

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Development

Cite this article: Aquino M(Ryc) V, Turner GM, Mant J. (2019) Does characterising patterns of multimorbidity in stroke matter for developing collaborative care approaches in primary care? *Primary Health Care Research & Development* 20(e110): 1–5. doi: [10.1017/S1463423619000240](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1463423619000240)

Received: 4 October 2018

Revised: 16 January 2019

Accepted: 6 March 2019

Key words:

integrated care; interprofessional collaboration; multimorbidity; stroke; transient ischaemic attack

Author for correspondence:

Maria Raisa Jessica (Ryc) V Aquino, Primary Care Unit, Department of Public Health & Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Worts' Causeway, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK. E-mail: ra532@medschl.cam.ac.uk

Does characterising patterns of multimorbidity in stroke matter for developing collaborative care approaches in primary care?

Maria Raisa Jessica (Ryc) V Aquino¹ , Grace M Turner² and Jonathan Mant³

¹Research Associate, Primary Care Unit, Department of Public Health & Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; ²Research Fellow, Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK and ³Professor of Primary Care Research, Primary Care Unit, Department of Public Health & Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Abstract

Stroke and transient ischaemic attack (TIA) remain leading causes of mortality and morbidity globally. Although mortality rates have been in decline, the number of people affected by stroke has risen. These patients have a range of long-term needs and often present to primary care. Furthermore, many of these patients have multimorbidities which increase the complexity of their healthcare. Long-term impacts from stroke/TIA along with care needs for other morbidities can be challenging to address because care can involve different healthcare professionals, both specialist and generalist. In the ideal model of care, such professionals would work collaboratively to provide care. Despite the commonality of multimorbidity in stroke/TIA, gaps in the literature remain, particularly limited knowledge of pairings or clusters of comorbid conditions and the extent to which these are interrelated. Moreover, integrated care practices are less well understood and remain variable in practice. This article argues that it is important to understand (through research) patterns of multimorbidity, including number, common clusters and types of comorbidities, and current interprofessional practice to inform future directions to improve long-term care.

Introduction

Stroke is a leading cause of death and disability worldwide with an estimated annual incidence of 16.9 million first strokes and 6 million stroke-related deaths (Krishnamurthi *et al.*, 2013). Although the age-specific incidence of stroke and transient ischaemic attack (TIA) has been in decline globally, the number of people affected by these conditions has increased (Feigin *et al.*, 2017). Based on the Global Burden of Disease 2013 study, stroke is the third leading cause of disability worldwide (Feigin *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, stroke and TIA patients are at high risk of recurrent stroke (Mohan *et al.*, 2011). The majority of risk factors for these conditions are modifiable (Feigin *et al.*, 2016).

Often, stroke and TIA survivors present with multimorbidity – having at least two or more chronic conditions (Barnett *et al.*, 2012; Wallace *et al.*, 2015). Related to this, comorbidity refers to co-existing conditions with an index condition of specific interest (van den Akker *et al.*, 1996). Although we pay particular attention to stroke/TIA as conditions of interest, for the purposes of this paper we use the term multimorbidity, because stroke/TIA might not be the primary condition of a patient and might present interdependently or independently of other conditions (Barnett *et al.*, 2012; Gallacher *et al.*, 2014; Lefèvre *et al.*, 2014).

Whilst multimorbidity is widely recognised for older adults with a stroke/TIA diagnosis, evidence also shows that many people aged <50 years including stroke survivors (Maaijwee *et al.*, 2014) also experience multimorbidity (Barnett *et al.*, 2012; Cassell *et al.*, 2018). The development of efficient and cost-effective care models to better serve this population remains high on policy agendas (National Heart Stroke and Vascular Health Strategies Group (Australia), 2004; Department of Health, 2007; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2012; The Scottish Government, 2014; The Ministry of Health and Care Services (Norway), 2015) and reinforced in the World Health Organization's (2013) Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases. Integrated care approaches to managing multimorbidity, often involving different health and social care professionals, are encouraged particularly in primary healthcare, where the majority of care is provided and needs are addressed (Roland *et al.*, 2012; Kringos *et al.*, 2015; Cassell *et al.*, 2018).

A better understanding of both stroke and TIA multimorbidity and current provisions for managing these could inform the development of sustainable care models in the future. The role of primary healthcare is important in this context, as re-emphasised by the 40th Anniversary of

© The Author(s) 2019. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

the Alma-Ata Declaration. In the draft Declaration 2.0 for 2018, primary healthcare services ought to: offer comprehensive, well-coordinated, long-term care that is people centred and responsive to their context and needs (World Health Organization, 2018). Therefore, this article aims to discuss the current literature on multimorbidity in stroke/TIA and the contemporary challenges to managing multimorbidity in relation to these conditions in primary care, and argue the case for research into profiling multimorbidity in relation to stroke/TIA, and characterising integrated care approaches for this population.

What is known about multimorbidity in stroke/TIA

Epidemiology

Multimorbidity is common in stroke and TIA (Tran *et al.*, 2018; The Academy of Medical Sciences, 2018). Gallacher and colleagues (2014) collated the evidence for multimorbidity in stroke across 40 conditions in Scotland and found that the overwhelming majority (94.2%) of those with a stroke diagnosis in their GP records ($n = 35\,690$) had one or more existing morbidities excluding stroke, whereas, only 48% of the comparator group (ie, no stroke, $n = 1\,388\,688$) had one or more morbidities. The two most frequent physical comorbidities for those with a diagnosis of stroke were hypertension and coronary heart disease, consistent with other studies (Barnett *et al.*, 2012; Bergman *et al.*, 2015; O'Donnell *et al.*, 2016).

A large-scale study of multimorbidity in cardiovascular disease (ie, ischaemic heart disease, and stroke or TIA) across 56 conditions found that of 4.2 million UK adults, 229 205 individuals experienced cardiovascular disease (Tran *et al.*, 2018). Between 2000 and 2014, rates of multi- and comorbidity increased fourfold (6.3–24.3%) for this population. However, this study did not report the comorbidities associated with stroke/TIA specifically. Also in the UK, Gallacher and colleagues (2018) found, in a sample of 8 751 stroke/TIA patients, patients with multimorbidity have increased risk of mortality and this risk increases as the number of comorbid conditions increases (regardless of type of condition). Both studies found higher numbers of comorbidities in females, older age groups, and people living in deprived areas (Gallacher *et al.*, 2018; Tran *et al.*, 2018). Comorbid conditions are also associated with poorer functional outcome post-stroke (Karatepe *et al.*, 2008). However, pairings or clusters of comorbid conditions – types of co-occurring conditions – in relation to outcomes other than mortality, such as quality of life, were not explored.

The differences between the temporal relationship (ie, pre-stroke, post-stroke, unrelated) and timespan in which comorbid conditions present in relation to stroke/TIA also need further exploration given subsequent implications on treatment, care management, and health service financing and planning (Valderas *et al.*, 2009). One study found an association between stroke and subsequent increased risk of progression to heart disease and diabetes; however, the population was women only and self-report data were used (Xu *et al.*, 2018). A nuanced understanding of cardiometabolic and non-cardiometabolic comorbidities could help with developing strategies that better take these into account.

Measures of multimorbidity

Measuring multimorbidity is challenging due to a lack of international consensus regarding its conceptualisation, scope, and how conditions are defined. Comparison of multimorbidity

prevalence rates across different populations and settings is hindered by availability of data, the variety of measurement tools, and the broad range of conditions included in existing multimorbidity measures (Fortin *et al.*, 2012; Lefèvre *et al.*, 2014).

A recent study concerning the key factors for consideration when measuring multimorbidity concluded that there is 'no single "best" measure of multimorbidity (p. 6)' (Griffith *et al.*, 2018). Broadly, multimorbidity measures are characterised as non-weighted (ie, frequency counts of co-occurring diseases) or weighted indices (ie, accounting for condition severity, healthcare utilisation) (Huntley *et al.*, 2012). The most commonly used multimorbidity measures are disease counts, weighted measures particularly the Charlson Comorbidity Index, the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale, the Index of Coexistent Disease, and the Adjusted Clinical Groups System (Johnston *et al.*, 2019). However, in stroke epidemiology research, frequency counts are most commonly used (Gallacher *et al.*, 2014; Lefèvre *et al.*, 2014; Bergman *et al.*, 2015; Gallacher *et al.*, 2018; Tran *et al.*, 2018; Xu *et al.*, 2018).

Whilst these indices are useful for a range of purposes (eg, clinical, research, health services planning), some are decades old (Linn *et al.*, 1968; Charlson *et al.*, 1987) and conditions included in these measurement tools might not reflect the contemporary conditions that are known to increase the risk of mortality. Furthermore, some measurement tools have been developed using a limited population (Charlson *et al.*, 1987). A recent expert panel study has identified that current multimorbidity measures do not account for social determinants of health and mental health, and episodic conditions (ie, recurring conditions or previous diagnoses that have already been treated). Such factors are important to consider when developing models of care and health policy (Griffith *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, a challenge for multimorbidity research is capturing the complex nature of multimorbidity – going beyond physical diagnoses – and ensuring that the appropriate measurement tools are a good fit with the study purpose and/or stakeholder objectives.

Managing multimorbidity in relation to stroke/TIA

The evidence for increasing multimorbidity in stroke/TIA has implications for treatment burden, including but not limited to polypharmacy (Ostwald *et al.*, 2006; Gallacher *et al.*, 2014), healthcare utilisation (Cassell *et al.*, 2018), and treatment adherence (Mair and May, 2014; Tran *et al.*, 2018). Importantly, there is evidence demonstrating that variations in multimorbidity patterns across different age groups (Tran *et al.*, 2018) and socioeconomic backgrounds (Bray *et al.*, 2018; Gallacher *et al.*, 2018) have profound and wide-ranging impacts on people's functioning, well-being, and quality of life (Fortin *et al.*, 2004; Navickas *et al.*, 2016). For example, people living in areas of deprivation tend to have a first stroke earlier (Bray *et al.*, 2018) and are at greater risk of experiencing comorbidities (Barnett *et al.*, 2012), when compared to their more affluent peers.

Stroke is a leading cause of disability and many patients have complex needs, including physical, emotional, social, communication, and cognition needs (Stroke Association, 2018). Post-stroke care often requires complex management plans to address patients' rehabilitation, social care, and stroke prevention needs. Beyond the array of impacts strokes/TIAs can have on individuals, their experiences of primary healthcare present further challenges to service delivery. A qualitative systematic review of patients' experiences of stroke management describes post-stroke 'treatment burden' which is intensified by poor communication and fragmented healthcare (Gallacher *et al.*, 2013). Additionally, a systematic

review with meta-ethnography by Pindus and colleagues (2018) showed that often stroke survivors and their carers felt marginalised and abandoned due to the passivity of services. This passivity was characterised by constraints to access to care, limited continuity of care, poor communication between healthcare professionals as well as between providers and stroke survivors and their carers, and receiving varied information about stroke (Murray *et al.*, 2003). These are interacting factors that make caring for patients with multimorbidity a challenge to healthcare providers and systems (Mair and May, 2014).

Multimorbidity is likely to exacerbate this post-stroke treatment burden through multiple uncoordinated appointments, polypharmacy, and lack of continuity of care (Noël *et al.*, 2005). Multimorbidity can also impact on patients' rehabilitation and recovery; for example, comorbid knee arthritis impairs patients' ability to fully engage in stroke rehabilitation which can manifest in frustration and required additional coping strategies (Wood *et al.*, 2009). Similarly, anxiety and depression have been found to slow recovery from stroke (West *et al.*, 2010). Stroke patients with comorbidities are often excluded from rehabilitation clinical trials (Nelson *et al.*, 2017); therefore, evidence-based interventions and recommendations might not be appropriate for the large proportion of stroke patients with comorbidities.

Despite multimorbidity being commonplace, clinical guidelines remain, by and large, disease specific and can be potentially harmful to patients and burdensome for healthcare professionals (Boyd and Fortin, 2010; Parekh and Barton, 2010; Guthrie *et al.*, 2012). Integrated care approaches for people with multimorbidity can offer opportunities to treat conditions that have common management strategies together (eg, hypertension and coronary heart disease, risk factors for stroke) including mental health comorbidities that could co-occur with physical conditions. However, a recent large-scale cluster-randomised controlled trial in GPs in England and Scotland tested a 3D approach to care (ie, a patient-centred way of managing dimensions of health, depression, and drugs) of patients with multimorbidity found no improvements in health-related quality of life. Nevertheless, the study found that such an approach enhanced patient-centred care, including patient satisfaction (Salisbury *et al.*, 2018). To date, the evidence for integrated care in primary care for people with multimorbidity is equivocal (Smith *et al.*, 2012; Salisbury *et al.*, 2018) and requires further investigation particularly in relation to stroke/TIA. Future studies testing complex interventions such as that of Salisbury *et al.*'s (2018) should include process evaluations, where feasible and cost effectiveness analysis if the findings are positive (Ramsey *et al.*, 2005; Moore *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, an understanding of the temporal relationship between conditions offers the opportunity for preventative interventions, for example, to prevent the cluster of diabetes, heart disease, and stroke (Xu *et al.*, 2018).

Multimorbidity care practices vary and could be broadly classified into three groups: (i) those that are focussed on a specific disease (ie, 'index disease') and include other conditions as comorbid; (ii) those that are focussed on specific combinations of a number of chronic diseases; and (iii) those that are not confined to specific combinations of chronic diseases (Rijken *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, although interprofessional collaboration is a reported key feature of integrated care models (Lalonde *et al.*, 2012; Valentijn *et al.*, 2013), a multitude of terms are used interchangeably in integrated care and interprofessional collaboration literature (Atwal and Caldwell, 2002), making our understanding of how care pathways and collaborative care practices are implemented in practice to address multimorbidity in stroke/TIA a challenge. As such, the

range of approaches to managing multimorbidity in primary care can also introduce gaps in care and service delivery, with knowledge concerning best practices and health organisation remaining limited (Rijken *et al.*, 2018; The Academy of Medical Sciences, 2018). These require illumination, as identifying markers of successful integrated care and interprofessional collaboration can impact care and professional practice.

Few randomised controlled trials have investigated the effectiveness of interventions to enhance multimorbidity management; nevertheless, the available literature has focussed on interventions geared toward changes to the organisation of care or enhancing interprofessional collaboration (Smith *et al.*, 2012; Salisbury *et al.*, 2018). Given that stroke and TIA survivors frequently seek medical support through primary care, it is imperative that current practices, particularly in the context of multimorbidity, are better understood. This is in line with the shift toward integrated care models, which involve multiple healthcare professionals working together to address multimorbidity, such as GPs, district or community nurses and pharmacists (Rijken *et al.*, 2018). A particular challenge is determining which of these integrated care approaches are predominantly applied (including where and how), which are most effective, and which are most acceptable to stroke/TIA patients experiencing multimorbidity.

Going forward

Considering our current knowledge of multimorbidity in stroke/TIA and how these are managed, we outline several suggestions for advancing our understanding of these. First, a more detailed understanding of multimorbidity in stroke/TIA is needed. Specifically, pairings and/or clusters of multimorbidity in stroke/TIA need to be identified, and their relationships with and impact on clinical and patient-reported outcomes assessed. Understanding the impact of multimorbidity on stroke patients' rehabilitation and recovery is necessary to improve health and social care post-stroke. Measures of multimorbidity and their burden are heterogeneous and thus have implications on how associations and outcomes are investigated, which need to be considered in relation to available data (Huntley *et al.*, 2012).


Studies of multimorbidity trends in stroke/TIA need to select measures of multimorbidity based on study purpose and stakeholder groups involved. Continuity of care, treatment burden, and patients' experience could potentially be improved by efficient exchange of information between interdisciplinary healthcare providers, particularly specialists, GP, and the third sector. However, multiple barriers for optimal communication exist, such as lack of integration of electronic health records (Sadler *et al.*, 2017). New models of care are required to address poor communication between healthcare providers to improve transition of care and follow-up for stroke/TIA patients in the context of multimorbidity.

Importantly, multimorbidity trends in stroke/TIA need to be explored in different contexts (eg, urban versus rural communities) in different countries (ie, high-income versus low- and middle-income), as well as different care settings (ie, primary care versus secondary care) to contribute to the 'global atlas' of multimorbidity (The Academy of Medical Sciences, 2018). Future research should explore the settings in which multimorbidity in stroke/TIA is managed. This needs to be better understood, especially in low- and middle-income countries, as multimorbid conditions have implications on healthcare resources allocation and patient-centred care (Valderas *et al.*, 2009). Indeed, the vast majority of the multimorbidity literature is derived from high-income countries, despite

most of non-communicable diseases occurring in low- and middle-income countries (Xu *et al.*, 2017). Specifically, the definitions, components, provider profiles, and quality indicators of integrated care approaches for stroke/TIA need to be better characterised. The evidence base for multimorbidity management and risk factors need to be built alongside epidemiological evidence on multimorbidity in low- and middle-income countries, which is limited (Xu *et al.*, 2017). A further step would be to relate these integrated care approaches to health outcomes (eg, quality of life) and assess these approaches' cost-effectiveness, which will require more prospective, longitudinal research. Extensive research output from high-income countries can inform future research in low- and middle-income countries (Xu *et al.*, 2017). For example, the influence of social determinants of health on multimorbidity rates in these contexts should be explored. The burden of both communicable diseases and non-communicable diseases on multimorbidity should be assessed, particularly as communicable diseases remain a challenge in many countries.

Concluding thoughts

The number of stroke and TIA survivors has been increasing, and with this increase comes multiple challenges – including multimorbidity – to the healthcare system especially primary healthcare services. A more considered understanding of the combinations of diseases that co-occur with stroke/TIA in terms of how these vary across different patient subgroups living in different contexts and their associations with clinical and patient-reported outcomes serve the important purpose of: (i) identifying their risk factors for developing further morbidity, and/or experiencing another stroke or TIA and (ii) developing context-appropriate strategies for addressing wide-ranging and long-term needs, such as cognitive impairment and rehabilitation. From this understanding, new models of integrated care practices can be developed, informed by evidence and current effective integrated care practices. The landmark Alma-Ata Declaration's 40th anniversary brings to the spotlight the need for high-quality, integrated primary healthcare in the context of an ageing population and the rise of multimorbidity globally. Patient-centred, individualised, and well-coordinated care is required to optimise stroke recovery and reduce treatment burden in relation to patients' morbidities. There is a tension within longer term stroke care as to the extent to which this is best delivered by generalists and the extent to which it should be delivered by specialists. A more nuanced understanding of the epidemiology of multimorbidity in stroke/TIA will help inform this debate.

Author ORCIDs.  Maria Raisa Jessica (Ryc) V Aquino, 0000-0002-3989-1221

Acknowledgements. None.

Financial Support. This article received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. JM is a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator.

Conflict(s) of Interest. The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Ethical Standards. Not applicable.

References

Atwal A and Caldwell K (2002) Do multidisciplinary integrated care pathways improve interprofessional collaboration? *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences* 16, 360–67.

- Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S and Guthrie B (2012) Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *The Lancet* 380, 37–43.
- Bergman EM, Henriksson KM, Åsberg S, Farahmand B and Terént A (2015) National registry-based case-control study: comorbidity and stroke in young adults. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 131, 394–9.
- Boyd CM and Fortin M (2010) Future of multimorbidity research: how should understanding of multimorbidity inform health system design? *Public Health Reviews* 32, 451.
- Bray BD, Paley L, Hoffman A, James M, Gompertz P, Wolfe CD, Hemingway H, Rudd AG and Collaboration S (2018) Socioeconomic disparities in first stroke incidence, quality of care, and survival: a nationwide registry-based cohort study of 44 million adults in England. *The Lancet Public Health* 3, e185–93.
- Cassell A, Edwards D, Harshfield A, Rhodes K, Brimicombe J, Payne R and Griffin S (2018) The epidemiology of multimorbidity in primary care: a retrospective cohort study. *British Journal of General Practice* 68, e245–51.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2012) A study of primary stroke center policy: recommendations for policy implementation. Atlanta, USA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL and Mackenzie CR (1987) A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *Journal of Chronic Diseases* 40, 373–83.
- Department of Health (2007) *National Stroke Strategy*. London, UK: Department of Health.
- Feigin VL, Norrving B and Mensah GA (2017) Global burden of stroke. *Circulation Research* 120, 439–48.
- Feigin VL, Roth GA, Naghavi M, Parmar P, Krishnamurthi R, Chugh S, Mensah GA, Norrving B, Shiu I, Ng M, Estep K, Cercy K, Murray CJL and Forouzanfar MH (2016) Global burden of stroke and risk factors in 188 countries, during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2013. *The Lancet Neurology* 15, 913–24.
- Fortin M, Lapointe L, Hudon C, Vanasse A, Ntutu AL and Maltais D (2004) Multimorbidity and quality of life in primary care: a systematic review. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 2, 51.
- Fortin M, Stewart M, Poitras M-E, Almirall J and Maddocks H (2012) A systematic review of prevalence studies on multimorbidity: toward a more uniform methodology. *The Annals of Family Medicine* 10, 142–151.
- Gallacher KI, Batty GD, Mclean G, Mercer SW, Guthrie B, May CR, Langhorne P and Mair FS (2014) Stroke, multimorbidity and polypharmacy in a nationally representative sample of 1, 424, 378 patients in Scotland: implications for treatment burden. *BMC Medicine* 12, 151.
- Gallacher K, Morrison D, Jani B, Macdonald S, May CR, Montori VM, Erwin PJ, Batty GD, Eton DT and Langhorne P (2013) Uncovering treatment burden as a key concept for stroke care: a systematic review of qualitative research. *PLoS Medicine* 10, e1001473.
- Gallacher KI, McQueenie R, Nicholl B, Jani BD, Lee D and Mair FS (2018) Risk factors and mortality associated with multimorbidity in people with stroke or transient ischaemic attack: a study of 8, 751 UK Biobank participants. *Journal of Comorbidity* 8, 1–8.
- Griffith LE, Gruneir A, Fisher KA, Nicholson K, Panjwani D, Patterson C, Markle-Reid M, Ploeg J, Bierman AS and Hogan DB (2018) Key factors to consider when measuring multimorbidity: results from an expert panel and online survey. *Journal of Comorbidity* 8, 2235042X18795306.
- Guthrie B, Payne K, Alderson P, Mcmurdo ME and Mercer SW (2012) Adapting clinical guidelines to take account of multimorbidity. *British Medical Journal* 345, e6341.
- Huntley AL, Johnson R, Purdy S, Valderas JM and Salisbury C (2012) Measures of multimorbidity and morbidity burden for use in primary care and community settings: a systematic review and guide. *The Annals of Family Medicine* 10, 134–41.
- Johnston MC, Crilly M, Black C, Prescott GJ and Mercer SW (2019) Defining and measuring multimorbidity: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *European Journal of Public Health* 29, 182–9.
- Karatepe AG, Gunaydin R, Kaya T and Turkmen G (2008) Comorbidity in patients after stroke: impact on functional outcome. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 40, 831–5.

- Kringos DS, Boerma WG, Hutchinson A and Saltman RB (2015) *Building primary care in a changing Europe*. Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Krishnamurthi RV, Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Mensah GA, Connor M, Bennett DA, Moran AE, Sacco RL, Anderson LM and Truelsen T (2013) Global and regional burden of first-ever ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke during 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet Global Health* 1, e259–81.
- Lalonde L, Goudreau J, Hudon É, Lussier MT, Duhamel F, Bélanger D, Lévesque L, Martin É and Group for TRANSIT to Best Practices in Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Primary Care (2012) Priorities for action to improve cardiovascular preventive care of patients with multimorbid conditions in primary care—a participatory action research project. *Family Practice* 29, 733–41.
- Lefèvre T, D'ivernois JF, De Andrade V, Crozet C, Lombrail P and Gagnayre R (2014) What do we mean by multimorbidity? An analysis of the literature on multimorbidity measures, associated factors, and impact on health services organization. *Revue d'Epidemiologie et de Santé Publique* 62, 305–14.
- Linn BS, Linn MW and Gurel L (1968) Cumulative illness rating scale. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 16, 622–626.
- Maaijwee NA, Rutten-Jacobs LC, Schaapsmeeders P, Van Dijk EJ and De Leeuw FE (2014) Ischaemic stroke in young adults: risk factors and long-term consequences. *Nature Reviews Neurology* 10, 315.
- Mair FS and May CR (2014) Thinking about the burden of treatment. *BMJ* 349, g6680.
- Mohan KM, Wolfe CD, Rudd AG, Heuschmann PU, Kolominsky-Rabas PL and Grieve AP (2011) Risk and cumulative risk of stroke recurrence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke* 42, 1489–94.
- Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, Bond L, Bonell C, Hardeman W, Moore L, O'cathain A, Tinati T and Wight D (2015) Process evaluation of complex interventions: medical research council guidance. *British Medical Journal* 350, h1258.
- Murray J, Ashworth R, Forster A and Young J (2003) Developing a primary care-based stroke service: a review of the qualitative literature. *British Journal of General Practice* 53, 137–42.
- National Heart Stroke and Vascular Health Strategies Group (Australia) (2004) *National strategy for heart, stroke and vascular health in Australia*. Canberra, Australia: Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing.
- Navickas R, Petric V-K, Feigl AB and Seychell M (2016) Multimorbidity: what do we know? what should we do? *Journal of Comorbidity* 6, 4–11.
- Nelson ML, Mckellar KA, Yi J, Kelloway L, Munce S, Cott C, Hall R, Fortin M, Teasell R and Lyons R (2017) Stroke rehabilitation evidence and comorbidity: a systematic scoping review of randomized controlled trials. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation* 24, 374–380.
- Noël PH, Chris Frueh B, Larme AC and Pugh JA (2005) Collaborative care needs and preferences of primary care patients with multimorbidity. *Health Expectations* 8, 54–63.
- O'donnell MJ, Chin SL, Rangarajan S, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Rao-Melacini P, Zhang X, Pais P and Agapay S (2016) Global and regional effects of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with acute stroke in 32 countries (INTERSTROKE): a case-control study. *The Lancet* 388, 761–75.
- Ostwald SK, Wasserman J and Davis S (2006) Medications, comorbidities, and medical complications in stroke survivors: the cares study. *Rehabilitation Nursing* 31, 10–14.
- Parekh AK and Barton MB (2010) The challenge of multiple comorbidity for the US health care system. *The Journal of the American Medical Association* 303, 1303–4.
- Pindus DM, Mullis R, Lim L, Wellwood I, Rundell AV, Aziz NAA and Mant J (2018) Stroke survivors' and informal caregivers' experiences of primary care and community healthcare services – a systematic review and meta-ethnography. *PLoS One* 13, e0192533.
- Ramsey S, Willke R, Briggs A, Brown R, Buxton M, Chawla A, Cook J, Glick H, Liljas B and Petitti D (2005) Good research practices for cost-effectiveness analysis alongside clinical trials: the ISPOR RCT-CEA task force report. *Value in Health* 8, 521–33.
- Rijken M, Hujala A, Van Ginneken E, Melchiorre MG, Groenewegen P and Schellevis F (2018) Managing multimorbidity: profiles of integrated care approaches targeting people with multiple chronic conditions in Europe. *Health Policy* 122, 44–52.
- Roland M, Guthrie B and Thomé DC (2012) Primary medical care in the United Kingdom. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* 25, S6–11.
- Sadler E, Porat T, Marshall I, Hoang U, Curcin V, Wolfe CD and McKeivitt C (2017) Shaping innovations in long-term care for stroke survivors with multimorbidity through stakeholder engagement. *PLoS One* 12, e0177102.
- Salisbury C, Man M-S, Bower P, Guthrie B, Chaplin K, Gaunt DM, Brookes S, Fitzpatrick B, Gardner C and Hollinghurst S (2018) Management of multimorbidity using a patient-centred care model: a pragmatic cluster-randomised trial of the 3D approach. *The Lancet* 392, 41–50.
- Smith SM, Soubhi H, Fortin M, Hudon C and O'dowd T (2012) Interventions for improving outcomes in patients with multimorbidity in primary care and community settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 3.
- Stroke Association (2018) *State of the nation: stroke statistics*. London, UK: Stroke Association.
- The Academy of Medical Sciences (2018) *Multimorbidity: a priority for global health research*. London, UK: The Academy of Medical Sciences.
- The Ministry of Health and Care Services (Norway) (2015) *Care plan 2020: the Norwegian Government's plan for the care services field for 2015–2020*. Norway: Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services.
- The Scottish Government (2014) *Stroke improvement plan*. Edinburgh, UK: Scottish Government.
- Tran J, Norton R, Conrad N, Rahimian F, Canoy D, Nazarzadeh M and Rahimi K (2018) Patterns and temporal trends of comorbidity among adult patients with incident cardiovascular disease in the UK between 2000 and 2014: a population-based cohort study. *PLoS Medicine* 15, e1002513.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2010) *Healthy people 2020*. USA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
- Valderas JM, Starfield B, Sibbald B, Salisbury C and Roland M (2009) Defining comorbidity: implications for understanding health and health services. *The Annals of Family Medicine* 7, 357–63.
- Valentijn PP, Schepman SM, Opheij W and Bruijnzeels MA (2013) Understanding integrated care: a comprehensive conceptual framework based on the integrative functions of primary care. *International Journal of Integrated Care* 13, e010.
- Van Den Akker M, Buntinx F and Knottnerus JA (1996) Comorbidity or multimorbidity: what's in a name? A review of literature. *The European Journal of General Practice* 2, 65–70.
- Wallace E, Salisbury C, Guthrie B, Lewis C, Fahey T and Smith SM (2015) Managing patients with multimorbidity in primary care. *BMJ* 350, 10.1136.
- West R, Hill K, Hewison J, Knapp P and House A (2010) Psychological disorders after stroke are an important influence on functional outcomes: a prospective cohort study. *Stroke* 41, 1723–7.
- Wood JP, Connelly DM and Maly MR (2009) “Holding me back”: living with arthritis while recovering from stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 90, 494–500.
- World Health Organization (2013) *Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013–2020*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (2018) Draft declaration: second international conference on primary health care: Towards universal health coverage and the sustainable development Goals, Astana, Kazakhstan 25–26 October 2018.
- Xu X, Mishra GD, Dobson AJ and Jones M (2018) Progression of diabetes, heart disease, and stroke multimorbidity in middle-aged women: a 20-year cohort study. *PLoS Medicine* 15, e1002516.
- Xu X, Mishra GD and Jones M (2017) Mapping the global research landscape and knowledge gaps on multimorbidity: a bibliometric study. *Journal of Global Health* 7, 010414.